

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra Federal Department of Finance FDF Federal Finance Administration FFA

After Fukushima: A New Role for Energy Taxes in Switzerland

Dr. Pierre-Alain Bruchez

Economic Analysis and Policy Advice, Swiss Federal Finance Administration

Economic Policy Seminar University of Lausanne, 9 October 2014



- 1. Incentive taxes versus alternatives
- 2. The Swiss energy tax project
 - Swiss energy production and consumption patterns
 - Energy Strategy 2050, climate policy
 - Swiss institutional setting

3. Designing the energy tax

- Tax base and tax rate
- Use of tax revenues

1. Incentive taxes versus alternatives

Discussion during the seminar

Subsidies for positive externalities



0 **Cap & Trade is complex**

Price set

Cap & Trac Tax Quantity set International Less uncertainty for investors Cap & Trade can allow for purchase of No need for a (well functioning) certificate market (whereas tax system foreign certificates already exists) Effort reduced during recessions since Lower administrative costs capmere easily attained (shock A cap may also work as a floor that (ausorber) cancels the impact of other measures: Nord "tax" and Political economy reducing one's own or a sub-national Rent seeking (example: receiving free entity's emissions will not reduce allowance while new firms must buy emissions (except if related certificates certificates). are destroyed)

Tax schemes a vary considerably according to their design. So do Cap & Trade schemes. Compare schemes with comparable design (for example: do not compare a tax where revenues go into the general budget with a cap & trade scheme where certificates are allocated for free, but with a cap & trade scheme where certificates are auctioned). Taking resetting of goals and safety valves into account reduces the difference between tax versus cap & trade.

Federal Department of Finance FDF Federal Finance Administration FFA

Effort continues during recessions

(effort smoothing, marginal cost of

abatement does no flactuate over time)

Tax versus Cap-and-Trade: are they equivalent?



Tax versus Cap-and-Trade: are they equivalent?



Regulation as a targeted complement

Tax

- Individual freedom
- Efficiency
 Given heterogeneity (one size fits all is not optimal) and the fact that the state has limited knowledge (even worse in dynamic environment)
- Comprehensiveness

Tendency not to regulate when the cost of compliance is very high (example: existing buildings). Tendency to leave some channels unregulated. Regulation typically suffers from rebound effect. Tax charges for the remaining consumption.

More stringent requirements for performance for new installations can have the perpirious effect of postponing retirement and discouraging entry

- No scarcity rent
- Exceptions for energy intersive firms in international competition
- Lower administrative cost for controlling compliance than for detailed technology mandate. Privicy concerns
- Incentive to innovate (but regulation setting global standards incites to innovate in order to meet these standards)

Regulation

- Regulation that sets global performance standards (ex: energy consumption in residential buildings per m2 uniringes less on individual freedom than regulation that sets technology mandates.
- Assuming compliance, regulatory requirements will be satisfied since it is compulsory and not merely an incentive (the cost of satisfying the law is however uncertain and the overall goal may not be achieved because of unregulated channels)
- Little efficiency loss if little scope for choosing the most cost-effective measure (when basically all available abatement measures must be implemented) or if heterogeneity is small (so that one relatively simple rule can be efficient for all cases).
- Limits to the effectiveness of taxes (irrationality, nearsightedness, principal-agent problems, etc.) and low elasticity
- Fairness issues (given wealth heterogeneity)
- Political economy. Cost and distributive impact not transparent

meet these standards) Regulation may be close to tax if sanction for failure to comply is of a pecuniary nature.

Federal Department of Finance FDF

2. The Swiss energy tax project

- Swiss energy production and consumption patterns
- Energy Strategy 2050
- Swiss institutional setting

LES 5 CENTRALES NUCLÉAIRES DE SUISSE



Nuclear power plants produce 40% of electricity in Switzerland



Data: « Production d'électricité selon le type de centrales »



Source: « Statistique suisse de l'électricité 2013 »

1.5 Internationaler Vergleich

1.5 Comparaison internationale



Source: « Statistique suisse de l'électricité 2013 »

Growing energy consumption Growing share of electricity

Endenergieverbrauch 1910–2013 nach Energieträgern Consommation finale 1910–2013 selon les agents énergétiques



Source: « Graphiques de la statistique globale suisse de l'énergie 2013 »

Federal Department of Finance FDF

0

Energy consumption

Anteil 2013 der vier Sektoren in % Parts en 2013 des quatre secteurs en %



SD Statistische Differenz inklusive Landwirtschaft DS Différence statistique y compris l'agriculture

Source: « Graphiques de la statistique globale suisse de l'énergie 2013"

Federal Department of Finance FDF

CO2-Emissions - Past



Source: « Émissions de gaz à effet de serre d'après la loi sur le CO2 révisée et d'après le Protocole de Kyoto, 2e période d'engagement (2013-2020) »

Federal Department of Finance FDF

Current taxes on energy

	Tax base	Tax rate	Tax use
CO2	Fossil heating fuel (no motor fuel)	60 CHF/tCO2 (heating fuel oil:16 ct./l) current law allows 120 CHF/tCO2	2/3 redistributed 1/3 subsidies
Electricity	Same rate for all sources of electricity	0.6 ct/kWh current law allows 1.5 ct/kWh	100% subsidies

Not included in the table: petroleum tax (mostly on motor fuels), etc...

Energy Strategy 2050

Phasing out of nuclear power plants, while preserving security of supply and achieving CO2 reduction goals

Goals

- Reduce energy consumption
- Increase renewable energy

First package

- Subsidies
- Regulation
- Limited tax increases

More info: « Message relatif au premier paquet de mesures de la Stratégie énergétique 2050 »

Second package

Taxes rather than subsidies

Energy Strategy 2050



Source: Prognos 2012.

Source: « Message relatif au premier paquet de mesures de la Stratégie énergétique 2050 »

CO2-Emissions - Scenarios

*Figur 5-18: Szenarienvergleich Absolute CO*₂-*Emissionen der Brenn- und Treibstoffe* ohne Stromerzeugung, in Mio. t*



Source: Prognos (2012), « Die Energieperspektiven für die Schweiz bis 2050 »

Energy law (proposal)

Reduction of consumption

(per head and year, relative to 2000)

	2020	2035
Total energy	-16%	-43%
Electricity	-3%	-13%

Production of renewable electricity

	2020	2035
Not hydro	Min. 4.4 TWh	Min. 14.5 TWh
Hydro	-	Min. 37.4 TWh

Climate policy

Swiss commitments

• 2008 to 2012: honored

First commitments of Kyoto Protocol period have been honored, partly thanks to purchases of CO2-Certificates (goals defined in the CO2 Act were not met).

• 2020: 20% reduction

Switzerland intends to reduce domestic greenhouse gases by 2020 by at least 20 per cent in comparison to their level in 1990.

Post 2020: being discussed

Means

- Revision of CO2 Act One revision came into force 1 January 2013, further revisions
- Increase of incentive tax

The Swiss institutional setting

- Direct democracy
- Largest parties are included in the federal government
- All federal councillors are equal (president by rotation)
- Consultation process



3. Designing the energy tax

Discussion during the seminar:

- Tax base and tax rate
- Use of tax revenues

For taxes on electricity and CO2

Take into account impact on:

- competitiveness,
- growth,
- revenue distribution,
- tax to GDP ratio,
- public budget,
- complexity and transparence, etc.

Electricity	CO2			
Goal of the tax				
 Reduction of electricity consumption Increase production of renewable electricity in Switzerland? 	 Reduction of CO2 emissions How much in Synzerland (rather than abroad)? 			
Tax rate ar	nd tax base			
 Renewable electricity taxed less ? WTO, EU Hydropower also taxed less ? Tax-rate difference large enough? 	 Heating fuel versus motor fuel ncentive effect of current taxes (but infrastructure and other externalities) Impact of a new tax on other tax revenues Current heavy vehicle charges Gasoline tourism Political feasibility 			
 Tax level (internalization / Standard p Other instruments ? Exceptions (competitiveness) Time schedule 	price approach / political feasibility ?)			
Use of tax	revenues			
General budget / earmarked subsidies	/ redistributed (which channel?)			
Federal Department of Finance FDF				

What next?

- Consultation (April-June 2015)
- Proposal of the Federal Council to the Parliament (first quarter 2016)
- Decision of the Parliament
- Compulsory referendum

Even a policy that makes a lot of sense according to economic textbooks may face strong political opposition and be complicated to implement.



http://www.efv.admin.ch/d/dokumentation/finanzpolitik_grundlagen/els.php

See for example (in three languages: German, French, Italian)

- Background paper (<u>Link to the French version</u>)
- Government-contracted studies (some are only in German, others only in English)
- Documents concerning the pre-consultation (who is consulted, their answers, as well as a report summarizing the answers)
- Various articles and presentations

Background slides

Taking spending on roads into account

Road account 2011				
Fiscal revenues from private motorized transportation	CHF 8.4 billion			
Road expenditure attributable to private motorized transportation	CHF 7.2 billion			
Cost coverage	118%			

Source: Swiss road account

Figur 8-47:

Szenario "Neue Energiepolitik", Variante C Perspektiven der Elektrizitätsversorgung, hydrologisches Jahr, in TWh_{el}/a



Quelle: Prognos 2012

Source: Prognos (2012), « Die Energieperspektiven für die Schweiz bis 2050 »

Figur 8-50:

Szenario "Neue Energiepolitik", Variante C&E Perspektiven der Elektrizitätsversorgung, hydrologisches Jahr, in TWh_{el}/a



Quelle: Prognos 2012

Source: Prognos (2012), « Die Energieperspektiven für die Schweiz bis 2050 »



	Szenario POM			Szenario NEP		
	2020	2035	2050	2020	2035	2050
Reduktion im Vergleich zur Referenzent	wicklung V	/WB				
CO2-Emissionen (exkl. Stromproduktion, Fernwärme)	-5%	-17%	-26%	-15%	-44%	-63%
Stromnachfrage	-5%	-10%	-12%	-5%	-15%	-23%
CO2-Abgabe [CHF/t CO2]						
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Pauschal (50%)	70	140	210	150	540	1'140
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (50%)	70	140	210	150	550	1'150
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (25%), Pauschal (25%)	70	140	210	150	550	1'140
Gewinnsteuer (50%), Pauschal (50%)	60	140	200	150	540	1'130
Gewinnsteuer (50%), direkte Bundessteuer (50%)	70	140	210	150	550	1'150
Stromabgabe [als %-Zuschlag auf dem Strompreis]						
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Pauschal (50%)	11%	23%	22%	12%	31%	40%
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (50%)	11%	23%	22%	12%	33%	42%
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (25%), Pauschal (25%)	11%	23%	22%	12%	32%	41%
Gewinnsteuer (50%), Pauschal (50%)	11%	23%	21%	12%	31%	40%
Gewinnsteuer (50%), direkte Bundessteuer (50%)	11%	24%	22%	13%	35%	43%
Einnahmen aus der CO2- und Stromabgabe [in Mrd. CHF pro Jahr]						
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Pauschal (50%)	3.3	5.7	5.8	5.1	11.5	13.1
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (50%)	3.3	5.7	5.8	5.1	11.7	13.3
Lohnnebenkosten (50%), Gewinnsteuer (25%), Pauschal (25%)	3.3	5.7	5.8	5.1	11.6	13.2
Gewinnsteuer (50%), Pauschal (50%)	3.3	5.6	5.7	5.0	11.4	13.0
Gewinnsteuer (50%), direkte Bundessteuer (50%)	3.3	5.7	5.8	5.2	11.9	13.5

This table shows the tax rates needed if the incentive tax were the unique instrument used to reach the levels of CO2 emissions and electricity consumption in the scenario.

Source: Ecoplan (2012), « <u>Volkswirtschaftliche</u> <u>Auswirkungen einer ökologischen</u> <u>Steuerreform</u> »

C Earlier votes

Vote 24 September 2000 Link

- Rejected "Solar" voter initiative
- Rejected Counter-proposal of the Parliament to "solar" voter initiative
- Rejected Counter-proposal of the Parliament to the withdrawn "Energy and Environment" voter initiative

Vote 2 December 2001

Rejected "To guarantee AVS – taxing energy rather than labor" voter initiative

The three ballot proposals of 24 September 2000

Table 1. The three ballot proposals of 24 September 2000

Name	Date	Base	Rate	Expected revenue	Use
Green tax reform	Starting in 2004 ^a	Non-renewable fuels, on the basis of energy content account is taken of existing taxes	Growing, up to 0.02 CHF per KWh	2.5–3 billion CHF	To lower social security contributions (expected: – 1.3 percentage point)
Energy conservation package	2001 until 2010, possibly 2015	Non-renewable fuels on the basis of energy content	0.003 CHF/KWh	450 million CHF	At least 1/4 for each of: promotion of energy conservation, promotion of of renewable energy, amortization investments in hydroelectric plants
Solar initiative	Starting before 2004, max rate in 2005, ends in 2025	Non-renewable fuels on the basis of energy content	Growing from 0.001 CHF/KWh to 0.005 CHF/KWh	Up to 880 million CHF	1/2 for promotion of solar energy incl. biomass), 1/2 for energy conservation

Source: Thalmann 2004. pg.186.